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#### Abstract

Tests of equality of several high dimensional contingency tables has been developed. Here the number of dimensions is equal to or greater than three.
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## 1. Introduction

A frequency distribution shows us a summarized grouping of data divided into mutually exclusive classes and the number of occurrences in each class. Multivariate joint frequency distributions are often presented as (multi-way) contingency tables, as for example a bivariate joint frequency distribution is presented as a two way ( $2 \times 2$ ) contingency table.

Adnan (2015) and Sharna et al (2012) developed a class of new statistical tests for checking the similarity or dissimilarity among the individual (cell) frequencies, marginal frequencies and total frequencies of several univariate or joint probability distributions.

Later Adnan et al (2016) demonstrated an idea of building three-dimensional volume matrix.

The aim of the present paper is to develop a class of multiple comparison test statistics for several high dimensional contingency tables.

## 2. Several Multiple Comparison Tests

Let we have $m n$-dimensional contingency space tables or matrices from $m$ populations and let the hypothesis be

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{0}: N_{1}=N_{2}=\cdots N_{m} \\
H_{0}:\left(N_{i j \ldots k 1}\right)_{a \times b \times \ldots \times n}=\left(N_{i j \ldots k 2}\right)_{a \times b \times \ldots \times n}=\cdots=\left(N_{i j \ldots k m}\right)_{a \times b \times \ldots \times n} \\
\therefore H_{0}: P_{1}=P_{2}=\cdots=P_{m} \\
H_{0}:\left(P_{i j \ldots k 1}\right)_{a \times b \times \ldots \times n}=\left(P_{i j \ldots 2}\right)_{a \times b \times \ldots \times n}=\cdots=\left(P_{i j \ldots k m}\right)_{a \times b \times \ldots \times n}
\end{gathered}
$$

where, the $N_{l}(\forall l=1,2, \ldots, m)$ is the high dimensional population frequency matrix or high dimensional population contingency table of the $l^{\text {th }}$ population, $P_{l}(\forall l=1,2, \ldots, m)$ is the population high dimensional probability region matrix or high dimensional contingency space table of the $l^{\text {th }}$ population such that $\mathrm{P}=\left(P_{i j \ldots k l}\right)_{a \times b \times \ldots \times n}$, where $P_{l}=$ $\left(p_{i j \ldots k l}\right)_{a \times b \times \ldots \times n}, p_{i j \ldots k l}=\frac{N_{i j \ldots k l}}{N_{\ldots \ldots l}}$ where $N_{i j \ldots k l}$ is the population frequency of the $(i, j, \ldots, k)^{\text {th }}$ cell or element of the population space contingency table. There are n variables named variable A , variable $\mathrm{B}, \ldots$, variable N . Variables $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \ldots$, N have total number of categories $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$ respectively.

### 2.1 Notation

$N_{\ldots \ldots l}=\sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \ldots \sum_{k=1}^{n} N_{i j \ldots k l} \forall i=1,2, \ldots, a ; j=1,2, \ldots, b ; \ldots ; k=1,2, \ldots, n$.
$q$ samples are collected from each of $m$ populations. On the basis of these $q$ samples, we want to test whether future samples came from the same population or not. So, q sample contingency tables from each of the $m$ population joint frequency distributions are available. The maximum likelihood estimators of the relative frequency contingency region tables are obtained as $\hat{P}_{l}=\left(\hat{p}_{i j \ldots k l}\right)_{a \times b \times \ldots \times n}$ where $\hat{p}_{i j \ldots k l}=\frac{n_{i j \ldots k l}}{n \ldots l}$ whereas $n_{i j \ldots k l}$ is the average frequency of the $(i, j, \ldots, k)^{\text {th }}$ cell or element of the average frequency space table or matrix $n_{\ldots . . . l} l$ constructed from $q$ sampled space frequency tables drawn from the $l^{\text {th }}$ population. Here, $n_{\ldots \ldots l}=\sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \ldots \sum_{k=1}^{n} n_{i j \ldots k l} \forall i=1,2, \ldots, a ; j=1,2, \ldots, b ; \ldots ; k=$ 1, 2, ..., n.

### 2.2 Theorem

Each Element of the Decision Matrix is a chi-square test statistic.
Proof: For large $\mathbf{n}_{\text {.....II }}$ the asymptotic distribution of each element of transition probability matrices, according to the Central Limit Theorem, is distributed as normal such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \therefore \sum_{\mathrm{l}=1}^{\mathrm{m}} \frac{\left(\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{ijk}} 1-\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{ijk}}\right)^{2}}{\frac{\bar{p}_{\mathrm{ijk} .}\left(1 \overline{\bar{P}}_{\mathrm{ijk} .)}\right)}{q}} \sim \chi_{(\mathrm{m}-1)}^{2} \forall \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{a} ; \ldots ; \mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where, $\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{\text {ijk. }}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{ij} . . . \mathrm{k} 1} \hat{p}_{\mathrm{ij} . . . \mathrm{k} 1}+\cdots+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{ij} . . \mathrm{km}} \widehat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{ij} . . \mathrm{km}}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{ij} . . \mathrm{k} 1}+\cdots+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{ij} . . \mathrm{km}}} ; \forall \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~b} ; \ldots ;$

$$
\mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n} .
$$

We obtain an element-chi-square space matrix $\chi 2$ in the following form. However, the sum of correlated chi-squares is also a chi square statistic (Joarder and Omar 2013).

$$
\chi 2=\left(\sum_{\mathrm{l}=1}^{\mathrm{m}} \frac{\frac{\left(\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{ij} . . \mathrm{kl}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{ij} . . . \mathrm{k}}\right)^{2}\right.}{\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{ij} . . \mathrm{k} .}\left(1 \overline{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{ij} . . \mathrm{k} .}\right)}}{\mathrm{q}_{n} \ldots \mathrm{l}}\right)_{\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{n}}=\left(\chi_{\mathrm{ij} \ldots \mathrm{k}}^{2}\right)_{\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{n}} .
$$

### 2.3 Explicit Hypotheses for Multiple Comparison Tests

Several hypotheses of the several multiple comparison tests have been addressed in the following.

### 2.3.1 Element Comparison and Matrix Region Comparison Tests

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij} . . . \mathrm{k} 1}=\ldots=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij} . . . \mathrm{km}} ; \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, the hypothesis of testing the equality of the each $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \ldots, \mathrm{k})^{\text {th }}$ individual cell probabilities of the m -dimensional population probability space tables or matrices $\left(P_{i j \ldots k 1}\right)_{a \times b \times \ldots \times n^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij} . \ldots \mathrm{k} 2}\right)_{\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{n}^{\prime}} \ldots,\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij} . . . \mathrm{km}}\right)_{\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{n}}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{0}:\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij} \ldots \mathrm{k} 1}\right)_{\mathrm{b} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{n}}=\cdots=\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij} \ldots \mathrm{~km}}\right)_{\mathrm{b} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{n}} ; \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, the hypothesis of checking the equality of the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ row region probability matrix or probability distribution for all populations.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{0}:\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij} \mathrm{j} . \ldots \mathrm{k} 1}\right)_{\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{c} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{n}}=\cdots=\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij} \ldots \mathrm{~km}}\right)_{\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{c} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{n}} \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, the hypothesis of checking the equality of the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ column region probability matrix or probability distribution for all populations.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{0}:\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij} \ldots \mathrm{k} 1}\right)_{\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{m}}=\cdots=\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij} \ldots . . \mathrm{km}}\right)_{\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{m}} \tag{iv}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, the hypothesis of checking the equality of the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ layered region probability matrix or probability distribution for all populations.

### 2.3.2 Multiple Diagonal Matrix Region Comparison Tests

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}:\left(p_{i j \ldots k 1}\right)_{b \times \ldots \times n}=\cdots=\left(p_{i j \ldots k m}\right)_{b \times \ldots \times n} ; j=\ldots=k \tag{v}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, the equality of the diagonal region probability matrix or distribution for all (m) populations where the upper left corner is the $(1,1, \ldots, 1)^{\text {th }}$ individual cell probability and the lower right corner is the $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \ldots, \mathrm{n})^{\text {th }}$ individual cell probability of the $\mathrm{b} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{n}$ diagonal region probability matrix or probability distribution for all populations. As for example, for 3 D Contingency Tables, $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{n}=4$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}:\left(\begin{array}{llll}
p_{1111} & p_{1221} & p_{1331} & p_{1441} \\
p_{2111} & p_{2221} & p_{2331} & p_{2441} \\
p_{3111} & p_{3221} & p_{3331} & p_{3441} \\
p_{4111} & p_{4221} & p_{4331} & p_{4441}
\end{array}\right)^{b \times n} \\
&=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
p_{111 m} & p_{122 m} & p_{133 m} & p_{144 m} \\
p_{211 m} & p_{222 m} & p_{233 m} & p_{244 m} \\
p_{311 m} & p_{322 m} & p_{333 m} & p_{344 m} \\
p_{411 m} & p_{422 m} & p_{433 m} & p_{444 m}
\end{array}\right)_{b \times n}
\end{aligned}
$$

or, the equality of the diagonal probability plate or matrix or distribution for $m$ populations where the upper left element is the $(1,1,1)^{\text {th }}$ individual probability and the lower right
element is the $(4,4,4)^{\text {th }}$ individual probability of the $4 \times 4$ diagonal probability plate of each population.

### 2.3.3 Multiple Vector Comparison Tests

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{0}:\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij} . . \mathrm{k} 1}\right)_{1 \times \mathrm{b}}=\cdots=\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij} \ldots \mathrm{~km}}\right)_{1 \times \mathrm{b}} ; \tag{vi}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, the hypothesis of checking the equality of the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ row probability vector or probability distribution for the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ category of variable K of the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ dimension for all populations. For a 3 D contingency tables, $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{n}=4$; $\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i} 1 \mathrm{k} 1} \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i} 2 \mathrm{k} 1} \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i} 3 \mathrm{k} 1} \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i} 4 \mathrm{k} 1}\right)_{1 \times 4}=\cdots=$ $\left(\begin{array}{llll}p_{i 1 k m} & p_{i 2 k m} & p_{i 3 k m} & \left.p_{i 4 k m}\right)_{1 \times 4}\end{array}\right.$ or, the equality of the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ row probability vector or distribution for the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ category of variable K of the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ dimension for all populations.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{0}:\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij} . . . \mathrm{k} 1}\right)_{1 \times \mathrm{a}}=\cdots=\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij} . \ldots \mathrm{km}}\right)_{1 \times \mathrm{a}} ; \tag{vii}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, the hypothesis of checking the equality of the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ column probability vector or probability distribution for the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ category of variable K over $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ dimension for all populations.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{0}:\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij} . . . \mathrm{k} 1}\right)_{1 \times \mathrm{n}}=\cdots=\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij} . . \mathrm{km}}\right)_{1 \times \mathrm{n}} ; \tag{viii}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, the hypothesis of checking the equality of the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ layer probability vector or probability distribution for the $i^{\text {th }}$ category of variable A over first dimension for all populations.

### 2.3.4 Hypotheses for Multiple Diagonal Vector Comparison and Overall Comparison Tests

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{0}:\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij} . . . \mathrm{k} 1}\right)_{1 \times \mathrm{n}}=\cdots=\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij} . . \mathrm{km}}\right)_{1 \times \mathrm{n}} ; \tag{ix}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, the hypothesis of checking the equality of the row diagonal probability vector or probability distribution from the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ category of the variable A to the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ category of variable K for all populations. For a 3 D contingency tables, $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{n}=4$;

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{p}_{1111} & \mathrm{p}_{2221} & \mathrm{p}_{3331} & \mathrm{p}_{4441}
\end{array}\right)_{1 \times 4}=\cdots=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathrm{p}_{111 \mathrm{~m}} & \mathrm{p}_{222 \mathrm{~m}} & \mathrm{p}_{333 \mathrm{~m}} & \mathrm{p}_{444 \mathrm{~m}}
\end{array}\right)_{1 \times 4}
$$

or, the equality of the row diagonal probability vector or probability distribution from the $1^{\text {st }}$ category of the variable A to the $4^{\text {th }}$ category of variable C for all populations.

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{0}:\left(P_{i j \ldots k 1}\right)_{a \times b \times \ldots \times n}=\left(P_{i j \ldots k 2}\right)_{a \times b \times \ldots \times n}=\cdots=  \tag{x}\\
& \left(P_{i j \ldots k m}\right)_{a \times b \times \ldots \times n}
\end{align*}
$$

or, the hypothesis of testing the equity of the whole n-dimensional contingency space table or space matrix for one population is varying from that of the other populations. It tests the similarity of $m$ populations where each of the $m$ populations has joint probability space (volume for 3 dimensions) distributions over $\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{n}$ cells.

## 3. Test Statistics for Several Multiple Comparison Tests

(i). Test of equality of $m\left[(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \ldots, \mathrm{k})^{\mathrm{th}}\right]$ cell frequencies: Comparing each $\chi_{\mathrm{ij}, \ldots \mathrm{k}}^{2}$ with the tabulated $\chi_{(\mathrm{m}-1, \alpha)}^{2}$ of $(m-1)$ degree of freedom,
(ii). Test of equality of $m$ [ith $^{\text {th }}$ variable's] row marginal plate/matrix region probability distributions: Comparing each $\sum_{j \ldots k} \chi_{\mathrm{ij} . . \mathrm{k}}^{2}$ with the tabulated $\chi_{(\mathrm{b} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{n} \times(\mathrm{m}-1), \alpha)}^{2}$ of $\mathrm{b} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{n} \times(\mathrm{m}-1)$ degrees of freedom,
(iii). Test of equality of $m\left[\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}\right.$ variable's] column marginal frequency plate/matrix region probability distributions: Comparing each $\sum_{\mathrm{i} . . . \mathrm{k}} \chi_{\mathrm{ij} . . \mathrm{k}}^{2}$ with the tabulated $\chi_{(a \times c \times \ldots \times n \times(m-1), \alpha)}^{2}$ of $a \times c \times \ldots \times n \times(m-1)$ degrees of freedom,
(iv) Test of equality of $m$ [ $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ variable's] layer marginal frequency plate/matrix region distributions: Comparing each $\sum_{i \ldots(k-1)} \chi_{\mathrm{ij} . . . \mathrm{k}}^{2}$ with the tabulated $\chi_{(\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{m} \times(\mathrm{m}-1), \alpha)}^{2}$ of $\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{m} \times(\mathrm{m}-1)$ degrees of freedom,
(v). Test of equality of $m$ diagonal region probability matrix or distributions: Comparing each $\sum_{j=\ldots=k} \chi_{i j}^{2} . . . \mathrm{k}$ with the tabulated $\chi_{(\mathrm{b} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{n} \times(\mathrm{m}-1), \alpha)}^{2}$ of $\mathrm{b} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{n} \times(\mathrm{m}-1)$ degrees of freedom,
(vi). Test of equality of $m \mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ row probability vectors or probability distributions: Comparing each $\sum_{j} \chi_{i j \ldots}^{2} . . k$ with the tabulated $\chi_{(b \times(m-1), \alpha)}^{2}$ of $b \times(m-1)$ degrees of freedom,
(vii). Test of equality of $m \mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ column probability vectors or probability distributions: Comparing each $\sum_{i} \chi_{i j}^{2} . . \mathrm{k}$ with the tabulated $\chi_{(\mathrm{a} \times(\mathrm{m}-1), \alpha)}^{2}$ of $\mathrm{a} \times(\mathrm{m}-1)$ degrees of freedom,
(viii). Test of equality of $m \mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ layer probability vectors or probability distributions: Comparing each $\sum_{\mathrm{k}} \chi_{\mathrm{ij} . . \mathrm{k}}^{2}$ with the tabulated $\chi_{(\mathrm{n} \times(\mathrm{m}-1), \alpha)}^{2}$ of $\mathrm{n} \times(\mathrm{m}-1)$ degrees of freedom,
(ix). Test of equality of $m$ row diagonal probability vector or probability distributions from the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ category of the variable A to the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ category of variable K : Comparing each $\sum_{i=j=\cdots=k} \chi_{\mathrm{ij} . . . \mathrm{k}}^{2}$ with the tabulated $\chi_{(\mathrm{n} \times(\mathrm{m}-1), \alpha)}^{2}$ of $\mathrm{n} \times(\mathrm{m}-1)$ degrees of freedom,
(ix). Test of the equity of m n -dimensional whole contingency space table or space matrix or space probability distributions: Comparing Chi-squares' matrix sum
$=\chi_{11 \ldots 1}^{2}+\cdots+\chi_{1 \mathrm{~b} \ldots 1}^{2}+\cdots+\chi_{\mathrm{a} 11}^{2}+\cdots+\chi_{\mathrm{ab} 1}^{2}+\ldots+\chi_{11 \ldots 2}^{2}+\cdots+\chi_{1 \mathrm{~b} \ldots 2}^{2}+\cdots+\chi_{\mathrm{a} 1 \ldots 2}^{2}+$ $\cdots+\chi_{\mathrm{ab} . . .2}^{2}+\ldots+\chi_{11 \ldots \mathrm{n}}^{2}+\cdots+\chi_{1 \mathrm{~b} \ldots \mathrm{k}}^{2}+\cdots+\chi_{\mathrm{a} 1 \ldots \mathrm{n}}^{2}+\cdots+\chi_{\mathrm{ab} \ldots \mathrm{n}}^{2}$
with the tabulated $\chi_{(a \times b \times \ldots \times n \times(m-1), \alpha)}^{2}$ of degrees of freedom $a \times b \times \ldots \times n \times(m-1)$.

## 4. Proposed Multiple Comparison Tests for a Real-life Problem

After observing 30 pairs of tri-variate samples ( 30 tri-variate samples from each trivariate population) from two tri-variate populations we have obtained the two average frequency volumes or average frequency volume matrices. So, the trivariate average volume frequency or matrices are we have two contingency $2 \times$ $2 \times 2$ tables as given below:

| Lower Level | Not a biter | Mild biter | Flagrant biter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mice | 20 | 16 | 24 |
| Guinea pigs | 19 | 11 | 50 |


| Higher Level | Not a biter | Mild biter | Flagrant biter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mice | 25 | 21 | 29 |
| Guinea pigs | 24 | 16 | 55 |


| Higher Level | Not a biter | Mild biter | Flagrant biter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mice | 100 | 56 | 44 |
| Guinea pigs | 19 | 11 | 50 |


| Lower Level | Not a biter | Mild biter | Flagrant biter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mice | 120 | 76 | 49 |
| Guinea pigs | 29 | 20 | 58 |

### 4.1 Computation for Multiple Comparison Tests

The chi square matrix for Lower Level $=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}502 & 121 & 6 \\ 158 & 90 & 451\end{array}\right)$ and
the chi square matrix for Higher Level $=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}583 & 187 & 21 \\ 117 & 68 & 440\end{array}\right)$
The resultant decision matrix for Lower Level $=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\text { DS } & \text { DS } & \text { S } \\ \text { DS } & \text { DS } & \text { DS }\end{array}\right)$ and the resultant decision matrix for Higher Level $=\left(\begin{array}{lll}\text { DS } & \text { DS } & \text { DS } \\ \text { DS } & \text { DS } & \text { DS }\end{array}\right)$. Here, 'DS' means dissimilar.
(i). $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mathrm{p}_{\text {mice, }}$ nota biter, lower level $=\mathrm{q}_{\text {mice, }}$ nota biter, lower level is rejected at 1 percent level of significance with $p$ value $4.09 \times 10^{-11}$.
(ii). The sum of chi- squares for the $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ lower leveled-columns are calculated as 660,211 and 457 respectively. The tabulated value of the column wise sum of chi-squares with 4 degree of freedom is 13.28 at $1 \%$ level of significance. Again, the sum of chisquares for the $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ higher leveled-columns are calculated as 701, 255 and 461 respectively. So, all columns are dissimilar for the two populations' joint distributions,
(iii). $H_{0}: P_{2 \times 3 \times 2}=Q_{2 \times 3 \times 2}$ is rejected at $1 \%$ for calculated value of chi-square 2745 .

## 5. Further Applications of Multiple Comparison Tests for Plates and Diameters of 3D Spheres and their Advantages

If several boxes are inscribed in sphere(s), various types of equalities like diameters, diameter plates, etc can be tested for several spheres from multiple populations.

The credence of the proposed tests for the equality of two frequency distributions or two joint frequency distributions are evident from the given real-life examples. The $p$ values of the proposed tests for the equality of the marginal row frequency distributions or column frequency distributions over two populations are very much near 0 . The results seem to be appreciating since maximum of the cell frequencies vary between populations. Besides, the test for comparing the two joint frequency distributions prescribed a $p$ value of 0 which means that two population joint distributions are not similar and is supported by the given samples. This certain difference is very much due to the difference between/among rowwise marginal probability distributions, the column wise marginal probability distributions, and so on for the p dimensional marginal probability distributions.

## Conclusion

Frequency distributions or contingency tables have been widely being studied by numerous authors since the childhood of statistics. Unfortunately, the discordance of them has not yet been studied so far with parametric tests. This paper also aided the test of equality of several frequency distributions. The tests presented in this paper ensemble the individual, group wise and overall pattern of the frequencies of one population whether significantly differing from those of other populations. Developing the mathematical and graphical representation of several types of Partial Linear and Non-Linear Correlation Plate and Logistic Regression Plate for the 3D case is the further scope of this paper.
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