

Detoxing Toxicity Analysis: Creating Analysis-ready One-proc Away ADLB

Ilya Krivelevich¹, Ran Xie¹, Simon Lin¹

¹Eisai Inc., Woodcliff Lake, NJ 07677

Abstract

Analysis of lab data is one of the major safety evaluations conducted during clinical trials. Lab results are displayed in the data listings and summarized using tables. These reports are customary for longitudinal data analysis (descriptive summary statistics for major lab parameters and their calculated changes from baseline). Another common type of analysis of lab data is evaluating shifts from baseline. In oncology, shift in toxicity (according NCI CTC AE guidance) is commonly used to determine how the categorical result varies from baseline to post-dose. For some of the lab parameters, toxicity is irrelevant; for some, it is expected in only one direction; and for several others, toxicity can be bi-directional (separate types of toxicity due to increase and due to decrease over normal range). This article discusses how to create an effective ADLB that answers all of the challenges in analysis of lab data.

Key Words: CDISC, ADaM, Toxicity Analysis, Oncology, Clinical Trial, Lab Data

1. Introduction

Analysis datasets and associated metadata are critical for the FDA to understand how the specific analyses contained in the study report have been created. ADaM datasets should be used to create and support the results in clinical study reports, as well as other analyses required for a thorough regulatory review. Generally, ADaM facilitates regulatory review. One major benefit to follow ADaM structure is the simplification and consistency of programming steps necessary for performing an analysis. Derived from SDTM datasets, ADaM datasets should have a structure and content that allow statistical analyses to be performed with minimal programming. Such datasets are described as “analysis-ready”. In addition, there is no requirement to have separate datasets for different analyses; a single dataset can support multiple analyses.

Section 4.2 in ADaMIG v1.1 lists many situations that require creation of rows not found in SDTM. One of such situation is handling bi-directional toxicity. The idea of having two separate rows, one for toxicity due to decrease over normal range and another for toxicity due to increase over normal range, in case of a specific lab parameters having bi-directional toxicity, was expressed in article “Grading Lab Toxicities using NCI – Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE)” of Srinivas Veeragoni and Ankur Mathur, presented at the annual PHUSE conference in 2016. Our work applies this idea, extending the coverage to include generic situations, and provides detailed advice and justifications for how to create an ADLB dataset based on SDTM LB domain that is “analysis-ready” for producing data listings and conducting different statistical analyses.

2. Example of provided SDTM LB records

According to the SDTM Implementation Guidance Version 3.2, raw data are first mapped into SDTM LB domain. Table 1 is a dummy illustrative example including 5 lab tests

(Urinalysis – Occult Blood; Chemistry – Bilirubin; Chemistry – Potassium; Hematology – Hematocrit; and Hematology – Lymphocytes) for the same subject at 3 different visits (Baseline, Week 2, and Week 4).

The results of Urinalysis – Occult Blood Test were collected as character values, while the results of the other 4 tests were collected as numeric values. Note that not each abnormality (result outside of the normal range) leads to assigning a NCI CTC AE Toxicity Grade. The source document (“Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) Version 4.03”) has a limited number of cases in which a Toxicity Grade should be assigned.

3. Creating ADaM dataset ADLB from provided SDTM domain LB

To start the process of deriving any dataset, we need to carefully read the study Statistical Analysis Plan and take into consideration the shells of proposed output (“TLG shells”) provided by statisticians. All required derivations must be determined based on SAP and TLG shells, and done in ADaM creation code, making ADaM datasets “analysis-ready”. Of course, in many cases analysis of lab data can be study/company specific, but we believe that for vast majority of companies conducting clinical trials in oncology, the commonly used lab-related outputs include:

- Data listings that may contain different derivations, such as change from baseline
- Summary tables displaying the descriptive summary statistics for major lab parameters and their changes from baseline. For numeric values, these tables usually include time-point information about numbers of subjects, means, standard deviations, minimums, medians, and maximums (Table 2)
- Shift tables analyzing shifts from baseline, using a Low-Normal-High scale (Table 3).
- Shift tables evaluating shifts in toxicities, from baseline including shift tables to worst post-baseline toxicity. When applying the NCI CTC AE grading scale to laboratory results, grade zero is often used to indicate “normal” or “not a concern”, while grade five (death) is not applicable. Again, it is important to note that not all laboratory tests have CTC grade criteria available (Table 4).

Based on CTCAE Version 4.03, we can claim for the lab data in our example:

- Urinalysis – Occult Blood: There are no available CTC grade criteria for this test
- Chemistry – Bilirubin: There is one available CTC grade criteria associated with this test (Blood bilirubin increased)
- Chemistry – Potassium: There are two available CTC grade criteria associated with this test (Hypokalemia and Hyperkalemia)
- Hematology – Hematocrit: There are no available CTC grade criteria for this test
- Hematology – Lymphocytes: There are two available CTC grade criteria associated with this test (Lymphocyte count decreased and Lymphocyte count increased)

To create a One-proc away ADLB that meets all analysis requirements, we recommend:

- If CTCAE Version 4.03 does not have CTC grade criteria associated with the test (e.g.: Hematology – Hematocrit), then each record from SDTM domain LB will result in only one record in ADaM dataset ADLB.
- If CTCAE Version 4.03 has one CTC grade criteria associated with the test (e.g.: Chemistry – Bilirubin), then each record from SDTM domain LB will result in

two records in ADaM dataset ADLB (one record for the collected test result and additional record for assigning toxicity), regardless of whether toxicity was reported in the LB record for this particular test.

- If CTCAE Version 4.03 has two CTC grade criteria associated with the test (“bi-directional toxicity”) (e.g.: Chemistry – Potassium), then each record from SDTM domain LB will result in 3 records in ADaM dataset ADLB (one record for collected test result and two additional records for assigning toxicity – one record for each possible direction), regardless of whether toxicity was reported in the LB record for this particular test.

The example ADLB in Table 5 has two Parameter Categories. Original carried forward SDTM LB records are populated with PARCAT1 and these records will be used for producing data listings, descriptive statistics tables, and shift tables by Low – Normal – High Scale. Newly added toxicity related records are populated with PARCAT2, which will be used for shift tables by Toxicity scale.

For the newly added records with PARCAT2, the value of variable PARAM is the name of the toxicity type (e.g.: “Blood bilirubin increased”) and AVAL and AVALC contain the reported toxicity grades as numeric and character values, respectively. If no toxicity was reported for the test at a given time point, the values of AVAL = 0 and AVALC = ‘Grade 0’ are assigned. In the case of bi-directional toxicity, since a lab value toxicity can only go in only one direction for a given time point, the other record in the additional rows approach has to be given a grade of 0 (as an indication that the subject does not have toxicity in the opposite direction). In addition, if there is a need to indicate worst post-baseline toxicity, variable AOCCIFL (1st Max Sev./Int. Occurrence Flag) can be added into ADLB for newly created records (not included in the example).

The created ADLB also contains 2 variables for SHIFT: SHIFT1 (shift using “Low – Normal – High” scale) and SHIFT2 (shift in toxicity). Both shift variables are expected to be populated for post-baseline ADLB records. SHIFT1 is for PARCAT1 records with the original SDTM Reference Range Indicator (LBNRIND) variable, while SHIFT2 is for the newly added ADLB records.

4. Discussion

Let’s give an explanation for the proposed ADLB dataset (Table 5) for each of the lab parameters provided in the original example:

For Occult Blood, the results of this test are in character values (captured in AVALC). Since this test does not have Reference Low and Upper Limits, SHIFT1 (shift using a “Low – Normal – High” scale) should not be populated. Also, according to Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) Version 4.03, there is no toxicity associated with this test, so no additional records (in comparison to SDTM) are created.

For Bilirubin, the Reference Low and Upper Limits are available for this test, so we can populate the variable ANRIND (Analysis Reference Range Indicator) for all of the ADLB records that correspond to the original SDTM LB records (assigned with PARCAT1). For post-baseline records of PARCAT1, we can also populate variable SHIFT1. In addition, variables LBTOX and ATOXGRN are used for data listing. There is one-directional toxicity associated with this test (Blood Bilirubin Increased), so for each original SDTM record, we will have one additional record with PARAM = “Blood

Bilirubin Increased”. These additional records have populated a variable called LBORTEST (Lab Original Test) with a value of “Bilirubin” to indicate the source. Variables AVAL and AVALC contain Analysis values for the Toxicity Grade in numeric and character forms; variable SHIFT2 is used in post-baseline records to populate shift in toxicity.

For Potassium, the Reference Low and Upper Limits are available for this test, so we can populate variable ANRIND (Analysis Reference Range Indicator) for all of the ADLB records that correspond to the original SDTM LB records (assigned with PARCAT1). For post-baseline records of PARCAT1, we can also populate variable SHIFT1. In addition, variables LBTOX and ATOXGRN are used for data listing. There is bi-directional toxicity associated with this test (Hypokalemia and Hyperkalemia), so for each original SDTM record, we will have two additional records with PARAMs equal to “Hypokalemia” and “Hyperkalemia”. These additional records have populated a variable called LBORTEST (Lab Original Test) with a value of “Potassium” to indicate the source. Variables AVAL and AVALC contain Analysis values for Toxicity Grade in numeric and character forms; variable SHIFT2 is used in post-baseline records to populate shift in toxicity. If the initial result indicates toxicity in one direction, there should be no indication of toxicity in the opposite direction. None of the SDTM records provided in the example indicate any toxicity, so for all newly added (in comparison to SDTM) ADLB records, the value of AVAL = 0.

For Hematocrit, the Reference Low and Upper Limits are available for this test, so we can populate variable ANRIND (Analysis Reference Range Indicator) for all of the ADLB records that correspond to the original SDTM LB records. For these post-baseline records, we can also populate variable SHIFT1. According to CTCAE Version 4.03, there is no toxicity associated with this test, so no additional records (in comparison to SDTM) are created.

For Lymphocytes the Reference Low and Upper Limits are available for this test, so we can populate variable ANRIND (Analysis Reference Range Indicator) for all of the ADLB records that correspond to the original SDTM LB records (assigned with PARCAT1). For post-baseline records of PARCAT1, we can also populate variable SHIFT1. In addition, variables LBTOX and ATOXGRN are used for data listing. There is bi-directional toxicity associated with this test (Lymphocyte count decreased and Lymphocyte count increased), so for each original SDTM record, we will have two additional records with PARAMs equal to “Lymphocyte count decreased” and “Lymphocyte count increased”. These additional records have populated a variable called LBORTEST (Lab Original Test) with a value of “Lymphocytes” to indicate the source. Variables AVAL and AVALC contain Analysis values for Toxicity Grade in numeric and character forms; variable SHIFT2 is used in post-baseline records to populate shift in toxicity. If the initial result indicates toxicity in one direction, then there should be no indication of toxicity in the opposite direction.

5. Conclusions

The techniques proposed in this paper allow the reader to create “analysis-ready” “one proc away” Analysis Data Model ADLB.

Table 1: Dummy Illustrative Example of SDTM LB Domain

| Category for Lab Test (LBCAT) | Lab Test or Examination Name (LBTEST) | Lab Test or Examination Short Name (LBTESTCD) | Visit Name (VISIT) | Character Result/Finding in Std Format (LBSTRESC) | Numeric Result/Finding in Standard Units (LBSTRESN) | Standard Units (LBSTR ESU) | Reference Range Lower Limit-Std Units (LBSTNRLO) | Reference Range Upper Limit-Std Units (LBSTNRHI) | Reference Range Indicator (LBNRIND) | Toxicity (LBTOX) | Standard Toxicity Grade (LBTOXGR) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------|---|---|----------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Urinalysis | Occult Blood | OCCBLD | Baseline | Negative | | | | | | | |
| Urinalysis | Occult Blood | OCCBLD | Week 2 | Small (+) | | | | | | | |
| Urinalysis | Occult Blood | OCCBLD | Week 4 | Moderate (++) | | | | | | | |
| Chemistry | Bilirubin | BILI | Baseline | 12.3 | 12.3 | umol/L | 3.42 | 22.23 | Normal | | |
| Chemistry | Bilirubin | BILI | Week 2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | umol/L | 3.42 | 22.23 | Low | | |
| Chemistry | Bilirubin | BILI | Week 4 | 32.1 | 32.1 | umol/L | 3.42 | 22.23 | High | Blood bilirubin increased | 1 |
| Chemistry | Potassium | K | Baseline | 4.1 | 4.1 | mmol/L | 3.5 | 5.0 | Normal | | |
| Chemistry | Potassium | K | Week 2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | mmol/L | 3.5 | 5.0 | Normal | | |
| Chemistry | Potassium | K | Week 4 | 4.3 | 4.3 | mmol/L | 3.5 | 5.0 | Normal | | |
| Hematology | Hematocrit | HCT | Baseline | 0.33 | 0.33 | L/L | 0.4 | 0.54 | Low | | |
| Hematology | Hematocrit | HCT | Week 2 | 0.44 | 0.44 | L/L | 0.4 | 0.54 | Normal | | |
| Hematology | Hematocrit | HCT | Week 4 | 0.55 | 0.55 | L/L | 0.4 | 0.54 | High | | |
| Hematology | Lymphocytes | LYM | Baseline | 1.1 | 1.1 | 10 ⁹ /L | 1.0 | 3.0 | Normal | | |
| Hematology | Lymphocytes | LYM | Week 2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 10 ⁹ /L | 1.0 | 3.0 | Low | Lymphocyte count decreased | 2 |
| Hematology | Lymphocytes | LYM | Week 4 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 10 ⁹ /L | 1.0 | 3.0 | High | Lymphocyte count increased | 2 |

Table 2: Laboratory Results – Mean and Mean Change from Baseline by Visit

| Laboratory Test (Unit) Visit Statistics | Arm A (N = xxx) | | Arm B (N = xxx) | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| | Actual | Change from Baseline | Actual | Change from Baseline |
| Parameter Name | | | | |
| Baseline | | | | |
| n | xxx | | xxx | |
| Mean (SD) | xx.x (xx.xx) | | xx.x (xx.xx) | |
| Median | xx.x | | xx.x | |
| Min, Max | xx.x, xx.x | | xx.x, xx.x | |
| Cycle x, Day y | | | | |
| n | xxx | xxx | xxx | xxx |
| Mean (SD) | xx.x (xx.xx) | xx.x (xx.xx) | xx.x (xx.xx) | xx.x (xx.xx) |
| Median | xx.x | xx.x | xx.x | xx.x |
| Min, Max | xx.x, xx.x | xx.x, xx.x | xx.x, xx.x | xx.x, xx.x |
| ... | | | | |

Table 3: Laboratory Results – Shifts from Baseline relative to Normal Range by Visit

| Laboratory Test Visit Result Category | Arm A | | | Arm B | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Low Baseline n (%) | Normal Baseline n (%) | High Baseline n (%) | Low Baseline n (%) | Normal Baseline n (%) | High Baseline n (%) |
| Laboratory test Name | | | | | | |
| Cycle 1 Day 8 | | | | | | |
| Low | x (xx.x) | x (xx.x) | x (xx.x) | x (xx.x) | x (xx.x) | x (xx.x) |
| Normal | x (xx.x) | x (xx.x) | x (xx.x) | x (xx.x) | x (xx.x) | x (xx.x) |
| High | x (xx.x) | x (xx.x) | x (xx.x) | x (xx.x) | x (xx.x) | x (xx.x) |
| Cycle 1 Day 15 | | | | | | |
| ... | | | | | | |

Table 4: Laboratory Results – Shifts from Baseline to Worst Post-baseline Grade by CTC AE Grade

| Toxicity Type / Laboratory Test Name (e.g. Hypokalemia) | Arm | Worst Post-baseline Grade | Baseline | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | | Grade 0 n (%) | Grade 1 n (%) | Grade 2 n (%) | Grade 3 n (%) | Grade 4 n (%) |
| xxxx / yyy | Arm A | Grade 0 | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) |
| | | Grade 1 | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) |
| | | Grade 2 | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) |
| | | Grade 3 | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) |
| | | Grade 4 | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) |
| | Arm B | Grade 0 | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) |
| | | Grade 1 | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) |
| | | Grade 2 | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) |
| | | Grade 3 | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) |
| | | Grade 4 | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) |
| | | Grade 0 | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) |
| | | Grade 1 | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) |
| | | Grade 2 | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) |
| | | Grade 3 | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) |
| Grade 4 | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | xx (xx.x) | | |
| ... | | | | | | | |

Table 5: Example of Created ADLB Dataset

| PARAM | PARAMCD | LBORTEST | PARCAT1 | PARCAT2 | AVISIT | AVAL | AVALC | ANRIND | BASE | BASEC | SHIFT1 | SHIFT2 | LBTOX | ATOXGRN |
|---------------------------|---------|-----------|------------|----------------------|----------|------|---------------|--------|------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Occult Blood | OCCBLD | | Urinalysis | | Baseline | | Negative | | | Negative | | | | |
| Occult Blood | OCCBLD | | Urinalysis | | Week 2 | | Small (+) | | | Negative | | | | |
| Occult Blood | OCCBLD | | Urinalysis | | Week 4 | | Moderate (++) | | | Negative | | | | |
| Bilirubin (umol/L) | BILI | | Chemistry | | Baseline | 12.3 | | Normal | 12.3 | | | | | |
| Blood bilirubin increased | BILI_I | Bilirubin | | Toxicity (Chemistry) | Baseline | 0 | Grade 0 | | 0 | Grade 0 | | | | |
| Bilirubin (umol/L) | BILI | | Chemistry | | Week 2 | 3.3 | | Low | 12.3 | | Normal – Low | | | |
| Blood bilirubin increased | BILI_I | Bilirubin | | Toxicity (Chemistry) | Week 2 | 0 | Grade 0 | | 0 | Grade 0 | | Grade 0 – Grade 0 | | |
| Bilirubin (umol/L) | BILI | | Chemistry | | Week 4 | 32.1 | | High | | | Normal – High | | Blood bilirubin increased | 1 |
| Blood bilirubin increased | BILI_I | Bilirubin | | Toxicity (Chemistry) | Week 4 | 1 | Grade 1 | | 0 | Grade 0 | | Grade 0 – Grade 1 | | |
| Potassium (mmol/L) | K | | Chemistry | | Baseline | 4.1 | | Normal | 4.1 | | | | | |
| Hypokalemia | K_D | Potassium | | Toxicity (Chemistry) | Baseline | 0 | Grade 0 | | 0 | Grade 0 | | | | |
| Hyperkalemia | K_I | Potassium | | Toxicity (Chemistry) | Baseline | 0 | Grade 0 | | 0 | Grade 0 | Normal – Normal | | | |
| Potassium (mmol/L) | K | | Chemistry | | Week 2 | 4.2 | | Normal | 4.1 | | | | | |
| Hypokalemia | K_D | Potassium | | Toxicity (Chemistry) | Week 2 | 0 | Grade 0 | | 0 | Grade 0 | | Grade 0 – Grade 0 | | |
| Hyperkalemia | K_I | Potassium | | Toxicity (Chemistry) | Week 2 | 0 | Grade 0 | | 0 | Grade 0 | | Grade 0 – Grade 0 | | |
| Potassium (mmol/L) | K | | Chemistry | | Week 4 | 4.3 | | Normal | 4.1 | | Normal – Normal | | | |

Table 5: Example of Created ADLB Dataset (Continued)

| PARAM | PARAMCD | LBORTEST | PARCAT1 | PARCAT2 | AVISIT | AVAL | AVALC | ANRIND | BASE | BASEC | SHIFT1 | SHIFT2 | LBTOX | ATOXGRN |
|----------------------------------|---------|-------------|------------|-----------------------|----------|------|---------|--------|------|---------|---------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| Hypokalemia | K_D | Potassium | | Toxicity (Chemistry) | Week 4 | 0 | Grade 0 | | 0 | Grade 0 | | Grade 0 – Grade 0 | | |
| Hyperkalemia | K_I | Potassium | | Toxicity (Chemistry) | Week 4 | 0 | Grade 0 | | 0 | Grade 0 | | Grade 0 – Grade 0 | | |
| Hematocrit (L/L) | HCT | | Hematology | | Baseline | 0.33 | | Low | 0.33 | | | | | |
| Hematocrit (L/L) | HCT | | Hematology | | Week 2 | 0.44 | | Normal | 0.33 | | Low – Normal | | | |
| Hematocrit (L/L) | HCT | | Hematology | | Week 4 | 0.55 | | High | 0.33 | | Low – High | | | |
| Lymphocytes (10 ⁹ /L) | LYM | | Hematology | | Baseline | 1.1 | | Normal | 1.1 | | | | | |
| Lymphocyte count decreased | LYM_D | Lymphocytes | | Toxicity (Hematology) | Baseline | 0 | Grade 0 | | 0 | Grade 0 | | | | |
| Lymphocyte count increased | LYM_I | Lymphocytes | | Toxicity (Hematology) | Baseline | 0 | Grade 0 | | 0 | Grade 0 | | | | |
| Lymphocytes (10 ⁹ /L) | LYM | | Hematology | | Week 2 | 0.7 | | Low | 1.1 | | Normal – Low | | Lymphocyte count decreased | 2 |
| Lymphocyte count decreased | LYM_D | Lymphocytes | | Toxicity (Hematology) | Week 2 | 2 | Grade 2 | | 0 | Grade 0 | | Grade 0 – Grade 2 | | |
| Lymphocyte count increased | LYM_I | Lymphocytes | | Toxicity (Hematology) | Week 2 | 0 | Grade 0 | | 0 | Grade 0 | | Grade 0 – Grade 0 | | |
| Lymphocytes (10 ⁹ /L) | LYM | | Hematology | | Week 4 | 5.5 | | High | 1.1 | | Normal - High | | Lymphocyte count increased | 2 |
| Lymphocyte count decreased | LYM_D | Lymphocytes | | Toxicity (Hematology) | Week 4 | 0 | Grade 0 | | 0 | Grade 0 | | Grade 0 – Grade 0 | | |
| Lymphocyte count increased | LYM_I | Lymphocytes | | Toxicity (Hematology) | Week 4 | 2 | Grade 2 | | 0 | Grade 0 | | Grade 0 – Grade 2 | | |

References

- Bingi, R. Producing Clinical Laboratory Shift Tables from ADaM Data, Paper CC01, PharmaSUG2011.
- CDISC Submission Data Standards Team. 2016. ADaM Structure for Occurrence Data (OCCDS) Version 1.0. Retrieved October 11, 2017 from https://www.cdisc.org/system/files/members/standard/foundational/adam/ADaM_OC_CDS_v1.0.pdf
- CDISC Submission Data Standards Team. 2016. CDISC ADaM Implementation Guide Version 1.1. Retrieved October 11, 2017 from https://www.cdisc.org/system/files/members/standard/foundational/adam/ADaMIG_v1.1.pdf
- CDISC Submission Data Standards Team. 2013. CDISC SDTM Implementation Guide (Version 3.2). Retrieved October 11, 2017 from https://www.cdisc.org/system/files/members/standard/foundational/sdtmig/sdtmig_20v3.2_20noportfolio.pdf
- CDISC Submission Data Standards Team. 2009. CDISC Analysis Data Model Version 2.1. Retrieved October 11, 2017 from https://www.cdisc.org/system/files/members/standard/foundational/adam/analysis_data_model_v2.1.pdf
- Dey, M. and Pyle, L. 2012. A SAS® Macro Approach to Assign CTCAE Grades to Laboratory Adverse Experiences, Paper PH-06, SESUG 2012.
- FDA Guidance. 2017. Study Data Technical Conformance Guide. Retrieved October 11, 2017 from <https://www.fda.gov/downloads/forindustry/datastandards/studydatastandards/ucm384744.pdf>
- Matthews, C. 2010. Assigning NCI CTC Grades to Laboratory Results, Paper PO03, PharmaSUG2010.
- Meier, A. 2014. Challenges in Processing Clinical Lab Data, Paper IB01, PharmaSUG2014.
- Minjoe, S. 2017. Deriving Rows in CDISC ADaM BDS Datasets Using SAS® Data Step Programming, Paper 977-2017, SAS Proceedings.
- National Cancer Institute. 2010. Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) Version 4.0.
- Veeragoni, S. 2016. Grading Lab Toxicities using NCI- Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE), Paper DH03, PhUSE 2016.