Understanding the Individual Contributions to Multivariate Outliers in Assessments of Data Quality

Richard C. Zink, Ph.D. Senior Director, Data Management and Statistics TARGET PharmaSolutions Inc. <u>rzink@targetpharmasolutions.com</u>



Thanks to:

- Laura Castro-Schilo
- Jianfeng Ding



Introduction

- Two aspects to data quality
 - Identifying anomalous data or observations
 - Investigating why the unusual data may have occurred
- Identification
 - Exceed a statistically-relevant threshold
 - Meaningful Δ , though not significant
 - In general, when results do not align with our expectations
- Investigation
 - Natural differences among patients or technique
 - Carelessness
 - Misconduct



- Mahalanobis distance^[1] is often recommended to identify unusual observations from many variables
- Considers the pairwise correlation among variables
- Distance is often calculated from the centroid, or the multivariate mean
- Two considerations
 - Outliers: Patients extreme in one or more covariates that cause them to be far away from the centroid
 - Inliers [2]: Patients close to the centroid that could be considered too good to be true



Challenge

- Efficiently identify inliers and outliers
- Potentially create thresholds of varying severity to triage numerous signals
- Investigate what contributes to the outlier by partitioning the distance among the covariates
- Quickly and easily...
- ... and without a lot of statistics or numbers



Data

- Phase II clinical trial to examine the safety and efficacy of the xanomeline transdermal therapeutic
- Patients with mild to moderate Alzheimer's disease
- 254 patients randomized 1:1:1 to either high or low dose xanomeline or placebo
- 17 clinical sites
- 44 covariates measured at baseline: age, vital signs, laboratory measurements and a subset of items from questionnaires
- Data available from CDISC_[3]







- Identified the outliers and inliers
- Question that we constantly received
 - What covariates caused outliers to be unusual?
- Partition the squared-distance into components that reflect the degree to which each covariate contributes
- Call these components c_{ij} , *i*th person, *j*th covariate
- Values aren't particularly meaningful
- Compute proportions p_{ij} of the squared-distance attributable to a covariate



- Some math:
 - $C = (Y_{cs}E)D_{\sqrt{\lambda}}^{-1}E^T$ defines contributions, though no straightforward interpretation
 - $t_i^2 = \sum_{j=1}^p c_{ij}^2$
 - Define contribution proportions $p_{ij} = \frac{c_{ij}^2}{t_i^2}$, the proportion of the squared Mahalanobis distance of observation *i* that is attributable to covariate *j*
- Contribution proportions have more straightforward interpretation and can leverage Pareto plots for summary



Pareto Plot - Medication Errors





Help identify the vital few issues





Pareto plots per person – 01-705-1186 Black line reflects cumulative proportion of error Covariates ordered according to contribution





Variable

Pareto plots per person – 01-709-1301 Black line reflects cumulative proportion of error Covariates ordered according to contribution





Box plots to assess meaningful covariates in context of entire population.





Scatter plot with regression line and confidence interval and density ellipse



Word Recall Task Percent of Squared Mahalanobis Distance Weight (kg) 90 Vitamin B12 (pmol/L) 80 Urate (umol/L) Thyrotropin (mU/L) 70 Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHq) 60 Sodium (mmol/L) 50 Pulse Rate (beats/min) 40 Protein (g/L) 30 Orientation Npi-X (9) Total Score 20 Monocytes (GI/L) 10 Lymphocytes (GI/L) 0 Leukocytes (GI/L) Irritability/Lability Score Hemoglobin (mmol/L) Height (cm) Glucose (mmol/L) Gamma Glutamyl Transferase (U/L) Erythrocytes (TI/L) Variable Ery. Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin (fmol(Fe)) Eosinophils (GI/L) **Disinhibition Score** Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg) Heat map to assess which covariates Depression/Dysphoria Score **Delusions Score** contribute to outlier status. Delayed Word Recall Creatinine (umol/L) Creatine Kinase (U/L) Cholesterol (mmol/L) Chloride (mmol/L) Calcium (mmol/L) Blood Urea Nitrogen (mmol/L) Bilirubin (umol/L) Basophils (GI/L) Aspartate Aminotransferase (U/L) Apathy/Indifference Score Anxiety Score Albumin (g/L) Alanine Aminotransferase (U/L) Agitation/Agression Score Age Adas-Cog(11) Subscore 1. 1. 01.105 1.108 1.216 Aberrant Motor Behavior Score 01-101-302 01-701-1239 01-701-1381 01-704-1445 01-109-1301 0° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 52, 357, 124, 1210, 1251, 1067, 1328 1097, 1097, 1107, 1257, 1067, 108, 1328



Unique Subject Identifier

Conclusions

- Assessed unusual observations
- Described thresholds of varying severity
- Proposed contribution proportions as a straightforward way to identify influential covariates
- Visualize contribution proportions with Pareto plots
- Use additional graphics to assess outliers in the context of the population
- Limitations
 - Requires normality of original covariates
 - Not useful for inliers



References

- 1. Mahalanobis PC (1936). On the generalised distance in statistics. *Proceedings of the National Institute of Sciences of India* 2: 49–55.
- Evans S. (2001). Statistical aspects of the detection of fraud. In: Lock S & Wells F, eds. Fraud and Misconduct in Biomedical Research, Third Edition. London: BMJ Books.
- 3. CDISC SDTM / ADaM Pilot Project. (2012). Data Package.
- 4. Zink RC, Castro-Schilo L & Ding J. Understanding the influence of individual variables contributing to multivariate outliers in assessments of data quality. *Pharmaceutical Statistics* (in print).

