Risk and Benefit Assessments for Optimal Dose Selection Based on Exposure Response

2003 FDA/Industry Statistics Workshop

Peter I. Lee, PhD Associate Director, Pharmacometrics OCPB, CDER, FDA



What is the "Right Dose"?

- OCPB/FDA Mission Statement, 2000 Retreat:
 Right Dose, Right Drug, Right Time
 - Right Dose, Right Drug, Right Time
- Hierarchy of "Right Dose" (Right Patient)
 - Population based
 - Special population
 - Individualization
- Risk/benefit ratio

Common Limiting Factors to "Dose Optimization" (other than risk/benefit)

- Formulation
- Preclinical Pharmacology & Toxicology
- Phase I maximum tolerance dose (MTD)
- Patient convenience (i.e. regimen)
- Efficacy of other competitors
- Statistical power of E/S trials





The First Topic

- Support dose adjustment in special populations
 - Standardized approach proposed at CPSC
 - OCPB GRP MaPP
- Optimal dose selection
- Support efficacy and safety
 - More efficient review and drug development
 - NDA examples

Quantitative Risk Analysis Using ER for Determining Dose Adjustments in Special Populations

- NDAs may contain up to 20 or more special population and DDI studies.
- Intrinsic/extrinsic factors result in increases or decreases in drug exposure due to change in pharmacokinetics.
- Need a consistent approach to determine dosing adjustment in special populations.

















How Does ER Support E/S?

- A common reason for FDA "non-approvable" or "approvable" decisions: sub-optimal doses that cause toxicity or lack efficacy
 - ER can help optimize the dose regimen selected in the phase III clinical trials.
 - Support optimal dose selection in typical patients and special populations to balance risk and benefit of drug therapies.
- ER can help reducing review time and cycles.
 - Provide evidence for efficacy and safety and/or obviate the need for lengthy and costly clinical trials.
- CTS applying ER can help design clinical trials

Risk of No Benefit - Drug B

- Cardio-vascular Division
- Short pharmacokinetics half-life and immediate response
- But, the sponsor proposed a QD regimen
- A simulation based on conc-response model (built on phase II data) showed effectiveness only in the first half of the QD regimen



FDA Reviewer's Recommendations

- "There are inadequate data on dose response". Before approval, "It will be necessary to explore the appropriate inter-dosing interval, ... such as BID"
- 'Lesson' learned: Early meetings between the sponsor and FDA to discuss the exposure-response data and dose selection would have avoided conducting efficacy/safety trials at an ineffective drug regimen.

Optimal Dose Selection - Drug C

- Division of Reproductive/Urologic
- One dose (4 mg) was proposed in the initial NDA
- Unexpected AE observed in a significant number of patients of the phase III trials
- Based on a PK/PD analysis, two low (1, 2 mg) doses were only slightly less effective than the proposed dose



FDA Reviewer's Recommendations

- A PK/PD analysis showed that a lower dose (2 mg) was only slightly less effective than the proposed dose
- The ED50 of two primary endpoints were 0.6 mg
- The two lower doses (1 & 2 mg, rather than the initially proposed 4 mg) were approvable
- Additional data on the lower doses, such as CMC, were needed for the final approval.



- Div. of Anesthetic/Critical Care/Addiction
- Two phase III studies were conducted at three different doses
 - The review Division were considering the need of additional 'duplicate' studies to support the efficacy.
 - The FDA reviewer conducted exposure-response modeling to bridge the effectiveness at the three doses





FDA Reviewer's Recommendations

- Exposure-response modeling bridged the effectiveness at the two doses
- No additional confirmatory clinical studies were needed for approval
- Approved: "Pharmacokinetic/ pharmacodynamic modeling provided confirmatory evidence of efficacy across all doses"

Conclusions

- ER can facilitate the dose selection process (both drug development and regulatory review)
 - minimize uncertainty and reduce the review cycle
 - justify dose selection
 - support efficacy and safety
 - more complete NDA package
 - sometime reduce the need for large clinical trials
 - optimize phase III study designs
- Early interactions between sponsors and FDA during drug developments on dose selection strategy may be critical



